UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

MAG

United States of America,	Case No. 17-mj-70721-2 KAW
Plaintiff,)	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT AND Rule 5.1
v. Richard Acosta,	To June 9, 2017
Defendant.)	
For the reason stated by the parties on the record on May 26,2017, the Court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from May 26,2017, to Juve 9,2017, and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The Court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factors:	
Failure to grant a continuance wou See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(I).	ld be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice. 26 2017 SUSAN Y. SOONG NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT
defendants, the nature of the prosec or law, that it is unreasonable to ex	ex, due to [circle applicable reasons] the number of cution, or the existence of novel questions of fact spect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial shed by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	ld deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	ld unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given amitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	ld unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	, it is further ordered that time is excluded under 18 U.S.C. § nt of the defendant under Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure
	, it is further ordered that time is excluded under 18 U.S.C. § from removal/transport of the defendant to another district.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED: 5 36 17	Landes Willings

STIPULATED:

Attorney for Defendant

Kandis A. Westmore

United States Magistrate Judge

Assistant United States Attorney